



TITLE:

$\omega_1$ -Souslin trees under countable support iterations(Mathematical Logic and Applications'92)

AUTHOR(S):

MIYAMOTO, Tadatoshi

---

CITATION:

MIYAMOTO, Tadatoshi.  $\omega_1$ -Souslin trees under countable support iterations(Mathematical Logic and Applications'92). 数理解析研究所講究録 1993, 818: 42-59

ISSUE DATE:

1993-01

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/83137>

RIGHT:

## $\omega_1$ -Souslin trees under countable support iterations

Tadatoshi MIYAMOTO

Nanzan University

宮元忠敏 (南山大・経営)

### Abstract

We show the property “is proper and preserves every  $\omega_1$ -Souslin tree” iterates under countable support. As an example we show  $\text{Con}(\text{SAD} + \neg \text{SH})$  via a countable support iteration from [1].

### Introduction

In [1], it is shown that the forcing axiom SAD is consistent via an iterated Souslin forcing. It is also shown that the forcing axiom does not imply the nonexistence of  $\omega_1$ -Souslin trees by constructing a pair of an  $\omega_1$ -Souslin tree and an iterated Souslin forcing in such a way that the  $\omega_1$ -Souslin tree remains to be an  $\omega_1$ -Souslin tree in the generic extensions via the Souslin forcing. In [2], a general theory on countable support iterations is developed and stronger versions of SAD are shown to be consistent.

We show countable support iterations for getting SAD preserve every  $\omega_1$ -Souslin tree in the ground model. This note is organized as follows: In §0, we deal with various preliminaries. In §1, we consider preservations of  $\omega_1$ -Souslin trees under proper and strongly proper preorders. In §2, we present an argument on  $\sigma$ -Baire under countable support iterations from [2]. In §3, we exhibit  $\text{Con}(\text{SAD} + \neg \text{SH})$  via a countable support iteration.

### §0. Preliminary

**(0.0) Definition.** A triple  $(P, \leq, 1)$  is a preorder iff  $\leq$  is a reflexive and transitive binary relation on  $P$  with a greatest element 1. The symbol  $\dot{G}$  usually denotes the canonical  $P$ -name for a  $P$ -generic filter over the ground model  $V$ . For an element  $x$  in  $V$ , we usually use  $x$  itself to denote its  $P$ -name. The preorder is *separative* iff for any  $p, q \in P$   $q \Vdash_P “p \in \dot{G}”$  implies  $q \leq p$ . We consider separative preorders in this note and so a preorder is always a separative one. For a formula  $\varphi$ , we simply write  $\Vdash_P “\varphi”$  instead of  $1 \Vdash_P “\varphi”$ . A subset  $D$  of  $P$  is *predense below  $q$*  in  $P$  iff  $q \Vdash_P “D \cap \dot{G} \neq \emptyset”$ .

For a set  $x$ , let  $TC(x)$  denote the transitive closure of  $x$ . For a regular cardinal  $\theta$ , let  $H_\theta = \{x : |TC(x)| < \theta\}$ . A countable subset  $N$  of  $H_\theta$  is a *countable elementary substructure* of  $H_\theta$  iff the structure  $(N, \in)$  is an elementary substructure of  $(H_\theta, \in)$ . For a regular cardinal  $\theta$  and a countable elementary substructure  $N$  of  $H_\theta$  with  $(P, \leq, 1) \in N$ , a condition  $q$  in  $P$  is  $(P, N)$ -*generic* iff for any dense subset  $D \in N$  of  $P$   $D \cap N$  is predense below  $q$ . Let  $\text{Gen}(P, N) = \{G \subset P \cap N : G \text{ is directed, upward closed in } P \cap N \text{ with respect to } \leq \text{ and for any open dense subset } C \in N \text{ of } P \ G \cap C \neq \emptyset\}$ . For  $p \in P \cap N$ , let  $\text{Gen}(P, N, p) = \{G \in \text{Gen}(P, N) \mid p \in G\}$ . A condition  $r$  in  $P$  is a *lower bound* of  $G \in \text{Gen}(P, N)$  iff for all  $g \in G$   $r \leq g$ . For a  $P$ -generic filter  $G$  over  $V$  and a  $P$ -name  $\tau$ ,  $\tau[G]$  denotes the interpretation of  $\tau$  by  $G$ . But  $\{\tau[G] \mid \tau \text{ is a } P\text{-name and } \tau \in N\}$  is denoted by  $N[G]$  which is a countable elementary substructure of  $H_\theta^{V[G]}$ . Let  $((P_\alpha, \leq_\alpha, 1_\alpha)_{\alpha \leq \nu}, (\dot{Q}_\alpha, \dot{\leq}_\alpha, \dot{1}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \nu})$  be a countable support iteration. For  $p \in P_\alpha$ , we denote  $\{\beta < \alpha \mid p(\beta) \neq \dot{1}_\beta\}$  by  $\text{supp}(p)$  and so  $|\text{supp}(p)| \leq \omega$ .

We pick up a couple of definitions and theorems from [2].

**(0.1) Definition.** A preorder  $(P, \leq, 1)$  is *proper* iff for all sufficiently large regular cardinal  $\theta$  and all countable elementary substructure  $N$  of  $H_\theta$  with  $(P, \leq, 1) \in N$ , we have  $\forall p \in P \cap N \exists q \leq p$   $q$  is  $(P, N)$ -generic. For a countable ordinal  $\rho$ , a preorder  $(P, \leq, 1)$  is  $\rho$ -*proper* iff for all sufficiently large regular cardinal  $\theta$  and all continuously increasing countable elementary substructures  $\langle N_k \mid k \leq \rho \rangle$  of  $H_\theta$  s.t.  $(P, \leq, 1) \in N_0$  and  $\langle N_k \mid k \leq i \rangle \in N_{i+1}$  for all  $i < \rho$ , we have  $\forall p \in P \cap N_0 \exists q \leq p \forall k \leq \rho$   $q$  is  $(P, N_k)$ -generic. A preorder  $(P, \leq, 1)$  is *strongly proper* iff for all sufficiently large regular cardinal  $\theta$ , all countable elementary substructure  $N$  of  $H_\theta$  with  $(P, \leq, 1) \in N$  and all  $\langle D_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  s.t.  $D_n$  is a dense subset of  $P \cap N$  for all  $n < \omega$ , we have  $\forall p \in P \cap N \exists q \leq p \forall n < \omega$   $q$  is predense below  $D_n$ .

+

Let  $((P_\alpha, \leq_\alpha, 1_\alpha)_{\alpha \leq \nu}, (\dot{Q}_\alpha, \dot{\leq}_\alpha, \dot{1}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \nu})$  be a countable support iteration s.t. for all  $\alpha < \nu \Vdash_{P_\alpha} "(\dot{Q}_\alpha, \dot{\leq}_\alpha, \dot{1}_\alpha) \text{ is proper}"$ . Let  $\theta$  be a sufficiently large regular cardinal and  $N$  be a countable elementary substructure of  $H_\theta$  with  $(P_\nu, \leq, 1_\nu) \in N$ .

**(0.2) Iteration Lemma for Proper.** Let  $\beta \leq \alpha \leq \nu$ ,  $\beta \in N$  and  $\alpha \in N$ , then for any  $x \in P_\beta$  and any  $P_\beta$ -name  $\tau$  if  $x$  is  $(P_\beta, N)$ -generic and  $x \Vdash_{P_\beta} "\tau \in P_\alpha \cap N$  and  $\tau \restriction \beta \in \dot{G}_\beta"$ , then there is  $x^* \in P_\alpha$  s.t.  $x^* \restriction \beta = x$ ,  $x^*$  is  $(P_\alpha, N)$ -generic,  $x^* \Vdash_{P_\alpha} "\tau \restriction \dot{G}_\alpha \in \dot{G}_\alpha"$  and  $\text{supp}(x^*) \cap [\beta, \alpha] \subseteq N$ .

In particular, for any  $x \in P_\beta$  and any  $p \in P_\alpha \cap N$  if  $x$  is  $(P_\beta, N)$ -generic and  $x \leq_\beta p \restriction \beta$ , then there is  $x^* \in P_\alpha$  s.t.  $x^* \restriction \beta = x$ ,  $x^*$  is  $(P_\alpha, N)$ -generic,  $x^* \leq_\alpha p$  and  $\text{supp}(x^*) \cap [\beta, \alpha] \subseteq N$ .

+

**(0.3) Iteration Theorem for Proper.** If  $((P_\alpha, \leq_\alpha, 1_\alpha)_{\alpha \leq \nu}, (\dot{Q}_\alpha, \dot{\leq}_\alpha, \dot{1}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \nu})$  is a countable support iteration s.t. for all  $\alpha < \nu \Vdash_{P_\alpha} "(\dot{Q}_\alpha, \dot{\leq}_\alpha, \dot{1}_\alpha) \text{ is proper}"$ , then  $(P_\alpha, \leq_\alpha, 1_\alpha)$  is proper for all  $\alpha \leq \nu$ . Furthermore, under  $CH$ , if  $\nu = \omega_2$  and for all  $\alpha < \omega_2 \Vdash_{P_\alpha} "|\dot{Q}_\alpha| \leq 2^\omega"$ , then  $P_\alpha$  has a dense subset of size at most  $\omega_1$  for all  $\alpha < \omega_2$ .

+

Let  $\rho$  be a countable ordinal and  $((P_\alpha, \leq_\alpha, 1_\alpha)_{\alpha \leq \nu}, (\dot{Q}_\alpha, \dot{\leq}_\alpha, \dot{1}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \nu})$  be a countable support iteration s.t. for all  $\alpha < \nu \Vdash_{P_\alpha} "(\dot{Q}_\alpha, \dot{\leq}_\alpha, \dot{1}_\alpha) \text{ is } \rho\text{-proper}"$ . Let  $\theta$  be a sufficiently large regular cardinal and  $\langle N_k \mid k \leq \rho \rangle$  be a continuously increasing countable elementary substructures of  $H_\theta$  s.t.  $(P_\nu, \leq_\nu, 1_\nu) \in N_0$  and  $\langle N_k \mid k \leq i \rangle \in N_{i+1}$  for all  $i < \rho$ .

**(0.4) Iteration Lemma for  $\rho$ -proper.** Let  $\eta \leq \zeta \leq \rho$ ,  $\beta \leq \alpha \leq \nu$ ,  $\beta \in N_\eta$  and  $\alpha \in N_\eta$ , then for any  $x \in P_\beta$  and any  $P_\beta$ -name  $\tau$  if  $x$  is  $(P_\beta, N_k)$ -generic for all  $k$  with  $\eta \leq k \leq \zeta$  and  $x \Vdash_{P_\beta} "\tau \in P_\alpha \cap N_\eta$  and  $\tau \restriction \beta \in \dot{G}_\beta"$ , then there is  $x^* \in P_\alpha$  s.t.  $x^* \restriction \beta = x$ ,  $x^*$  is  $(P_\alpha, N_k)$ -generic for all  $k$  with  $\eta \leq k \leq \zeta$ ,  $x^* \Vdash_{P_\alpha} "\tau \restriction \dot{G}_\alpha \in \dot{G}_\alpha"$  and  $\text{supp}(x^*) \cap [\beta, \alpha] \subseteq N_\zeta$ .

In particular, for any  $x \in P_\beta$  and any  $p \in P_\alpha \cap N_\eta$  if  $x$  is  $(P_\beta, N_k)$ -generic for all  $k$  with  $\eta \leq k \leq \zeta$  and  $x \leq_\beta p \restriction \beta$ , then there is  $x^* \in P_\alpha$  s.t.  $x^* \restriction \beta = x$ ,  $x^*$  is  $(P_\alpha, N_k)$ -generic for all  $k$  with  $\eta \leq k \leq \zeta$ ,  $x^* \leq_\alpha p$  and  $\text{supp}(x^*) \cap [\beta, \alpha] \subseteq N_\zeta$ .

+

**(0.5) Iteration Theorem for  $\rho$ -proper.** If  $((P_\alpha, \leq_\alpha, 1_\alpha)_{\alpha \leq \nu}, (\dot{Q}_\alpha, \dot{\leq}_\alpha, \dot{1}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \nu})$  is a countable support iteration s.t. for all  $\alpha < \nu$   $\Vdash_{P_\alpha}$  “ $(\dot{Q}_\alpha, \dot{\leq}_\alpha, \dot{1}_\alpha)$  is  $\rho$ -proper”, then  $(P_\alpha, \leq_\alpha, 1_\alpha)$  is  $\rho$ -proper for all  $\alpha \leq \nu$ . ⊢

**(0.6) Iteration Theorem for Strongly Proper.**

If  $((P_\alpha, \leq_\alpha, 1_\alpha)_{\alpha \leq \nu}, (\dot{Q}_\alpha, \dot{\leq}_\alpha, \dot{1}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \nu})$  is a countable support iteration s.t. for all  $\alpha < \nu$   $\Vdash_{P_\alpha}$  “ $(\dot{Q}_\alpha, \dot{\leq}_\alpha, \dot{1}_\alpha)$  is strongly proper”, then  $(P_\alpha, \leq_\alpha, 1_\alpha)$  is strongly proper for all  $\alpha \leq \nu$ . ⊢

The following is from [1] with minor changes.

**(0.7) Definition.** For  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , a *normal tree*  $U$  of height  $\alpha$  means

- (1)  $U \subseteq {}^{\alpha}>\omega$ .
- (2)  $U$  is downward-closed in  ${}^{\alpha}>\omega$  with respect to  $\subseteq$ .
- (3) For any  $\beta < \alpha$   $U \cap {}^\beta\omega \neq \emptyset$ .
- (4) If  $\beta < \gamma < \alpha$  and  $x \in U \cap {}^\beta\omega$ , then there is  $y \in U \cap {}^\gamma\omega$  with  $x \subset y$ .

We use  $\text{height}(U)$  to denote the height of  $U$  so  $\text{height}(U) = \alpha$ . A *normal subtree*  $W$  of  $U$  means  $W \subseteq U$  and  $W$  is a normal tree with  $\text{height}(W) = \text{height}(U)$ . For  $\beta < \text{height}(U)$ , let  $U \restriction \beta = U \cap {}^\beta>\omega$  and  $U_\beta = U \cap {}^\beta\omega$ . So  $U \restriction \beta$  is a normal tree of height  $\beta$  and  $U_\beta$  is the  $\beta$ -th level of  $U$ . A normal subtree  $W$  of  $U$  is *closed under taking the immediate successors* iff whenever  $\beta < \text{height}(W)$ ,  $x \in W_\beta$  and  $x \smallfrown \langle n \rangle \in U$ , we have  $x \smallfrown \langle n \rangle \in W$ .

Let  $\Omega = \{\alpha < \omega_1 \mid \alpha \text{ is a limit ordinal}\}$ . An *array of directed sets* is a sequence  $D = \langle D_{\alpha,f} \mid \alpha \in \Omega, f \in {}^{\alpha}>\omega \rangle$  s.t. for all  $\alpha \in \Omega$  and all  $f \in {}^{\alpha}>\omega$   $D_{\alpha,f}$  is a countably complete directed subsets of  ${}^\alpha\omega$  (i.e. for all non-empty  $X \subseteq D_{\alpha,f}$  s.t.  $|X| \leq \omega$ , we have  $\bigcap X \in D_{\alpha,f}$ ). A normal tree  $U$  of height  $\omega_1$  is *appropriate* for the array of directed sets  $D$  iff

- (1) If  $\alpha \in \Omega$  and  $f \in U \restriction \alpha$ , then there is  $A \in D_{\alpha,f}$  s.t. whenever  $h \in A$  is such that  $f \subset h$  and  $\forall \xi < \alpha$   $h \restriction \xi \in U$ , then  $h \in U$ .
- (2) If  $\alpha \in \Omega$  and  $W$  is a normal subtree of  $U \restriction \alpha$  closed under taking the immediate successors, then for any  $f \in W$  and any  $B \in D_{\alpha,f}$  there is  $h \in B$  s.t.  $f \subset h$  and  $\forall \xi < \alpha$   $h \restriction \xi \in W$ .

We sometimes refer to a normal tree of height  $\omega_1$  appropriate for an array of directed sets  $D$  as a *tree appropriate* for  $D$ . The forcing axiom *SAD* denotes the conjunction of the following statements.

- (1) GCH.
- (2) Every constructible cardinal is a cardinal.
- (3) For every cardinal  $\kappa$ ,  $\text{cf}(\kappa) = \text{cf}^L(\kappa)$ .
- (4) Every countable sequence of ordinals is constructible.
- (5) If  $D$  is a constructible array of directed sets, then every tree appropriate for  $D$  has a cofinal branch through it.

### §1. Preserving $\omega_1$ -Souslin Trees

For the rest of this note a Souslin tree means an  $\omega_1$ -Souslin tree.

**(1.1) Proposition.** Let  $(P, \leq, 1)$  be a proper preorder and  $(T, <_T)$  be a Souslin tree. The following are equivalent.

- (1)  $\Vdash_P "(T, <_T) \text{ remains to be a Souslin tree}"$ .
- (2) For all sufficiently large regular cardinal  $\theta$  and all countable elementary substructure  $N$  of  $H_\theta$  with  $(P, \leq, 1), (T, <_T) \in N$ , let  $\delta = N \cap \omega_1$ , then for any  $(q, t) \in P \times T_\delta$  if  $q$  is  $(P, N)$ -generic, then  $(q, t)$  is  $(P \times T, N)$ -generic.
- (3) For all sufficiently large regular cardinal  $\theta$  and all countable elementary substructure  $N$  of  $H_\theta$  with  $(P, \leq, 1), (T, <_T) \in N$ , let  $\delta = N \cap \omega_1$ , then  $\forall p \in P \cap N \exists q \leq p \forall t \in T_\delta (q, t) \text{ is } (P \times T, N)\text{-generic}$ .

**Proof.** (1) implies (2): Fix an arbitrary regular cardinal  $\theta$  s.t.  $P, T \in H_\theta$  and a countable elementary substructure  $N$  of  $H_\theta$  with  $(P, \leq, 1), (T, <_T) \in N$ . Suppose  $(q, t) \in P \times T_\delta$  and  $q$  is  $(P, N)$ -generic. Let  $A$  be a maximal antichain of  $P \times T$  with  $A \in N$ . Given an arbitrary  $P$ -generic filter  $G_P$  over the ground model  $V$  with  $q \in G_P$  and an arbitrary  $T$ -generic filter  $G_T$  over  $V[G_P]$  with  $t \in G_T$ . We want to show  $(G_P \times G_T) \cap A \cap N \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $B = \{s \in T \mid \exists x \in G_P (x, s) \in A\}$  in  $V[G_P]$ . Then  $B$  is a maximal antichain of  $T$  and  $B \in N[G_P]$ . Since  $T$  remains to be a Souslin tree,  $B$  is a countable subset of  $T$ . Since  $N[G_P]$  is a countable elementary substructure of  $H_\theta^{V[G_P]}$ , there is an enumeration of  $B$  in  $N[G_P]$ . Since  $q$  is  $(P, N)$ -generic, we get  $B \subset N[G_P] \cap T = N \cap T = T \restriction \delta$ . Since  $t \in T_\delta$ , there is  $s \in B$  with  $s <_T t$ . So we have  $x \in G_P$  s.t.  $(x, s) \in A$ . We may assume  $x \in G_P \cap N[G_P] = G_P \cap N$  and so  $(x, s) \in (G_P \times G_T) \cap A \cap N$ .

(2) implies (3): By assumption  $(P, \leq, 1)$  is proper. So for all sufficiently large regular cardinal  $\theta$  and all countable elementary substructure  $N$  of  $H_\theta$  with  $(P, \leq, 1), (T, <_T) \in N$ , given  $p \in P \cap N$  there is  $q \leq p$  s.t.  $q$  is  $(P, N)$ -generic. Now by (2) for any  $t \in T_\delta$   $(q, t)$  is  $(P \times T, N)$ -generic.

(3) implies (1): Suppose  $\Vdash_P "\dot{A} \text{ is a maximal antichain of } T"$  and  $p \in P$ . Let  $B = \{(x, s) \in P \times T \mid x \Vdash_P "\dot{s} \in \dot{A}"\}$ . Then  $B$  is a predense subset of  $P \times T$ . Fix a sufficiently large regular cardinal  $\theta$  and a countable elementary substructure  $N$  of  $H_\theta$  with  $p, B, (P, \leq, 1), (T, <_T) \in N$ . By (3), we have  $q \leq p$  s.t. for all  $t \in T_\delta$   $(q, t)$  is  $(P \times T, N)$ -generic. So  $B \cap N$  is predense below  $(q, t)$  for all  $t \in T_\delta$ . We conclude  $q \Vdash_P "\forall t \in T_\delta \exists s <_T t s \in \dot{A}"$ . Hence  $q \Vdash_P "\dot{A} \subseteq T \restriction \delta"$ .

+

**(1.2) Lemma.** Let  $((P_\alpha, \leq_\alpha, 1_\alpha)_{\alpha \leq \nu}, (\dot{Q}_\alpha, \dot{\leq}_\alpha, \dot{1}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \nu})$  be a countable support iteration and  $(T, <_T)$  be a Souslin tree. If  $\nu$  is a limit ordinal and for all  $\alpha < \nu$   $\Vdash_{P_\alpha} "(T, <_T) \text{ remains to be a Souslin tree and } (\dot{Q}_\alpha, \dot{\leq}_\alpha, \dot{1}_\alpha) \text{ is proper}"$ , then  $\Vdash_{P_\nu} "(T, <_T) \text{ remains to be a Souslin tree}"$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $p \in P_\nu$  and  $\Vdash_{P_\nu} "\dot{A} \text{ is a maximal antichain of } T"$ . Let  $B = \{(x, s) \in P_\nu \times T \mid x \Vdash_{P_\nu} "\dot{s} \in \dot{A}"\}$ . Fix a sufficiently large regular cardinal  $\theta$  and a countable elementary

substructure  $N$  of  $H_\theta$  with  $p, (P_\nu, \leq_\nu, 1_\nu), (T, <_T), B \in N$ . Fix  $\langle \alpha_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  s.t.  $\alpha_0 = 0$ ,  $\alpha_n \in \nu \cap N$  and  $\alpha_n < \alpha_{n+1}$  for all  $n < \omega$  and  $\sup\{\alpha_n \mid n < \omega\} = \sup(\nu \cap N)$ . Let  $\delta = N \cap \omega_1 < \omega_1$  and  $\langle t_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  enumerate  $T_\delta$ . We construct  $\langle \dot{x}_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  and  $\langle q_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  s.t. for all  $n < \omega$

- (1)  $\dot{x}_0$  is the  $P_0$ -name  $\check{p}$ .
- (2)  $q_0 = \emptyset \in P_0$ .
- (3)  $\dot{x}_n$  is a  $P_{\alpha_n}$ -name.
- (4)  $q_n$  is  $(P_{\alpha_n}, N)$ -generic.
- (5)  $q_n \Vdash_{P_{\alpha_n}} \dot{x}_n \in P_\nu \cap N$  and  $\dot{x}_n \restriction \alpha_n \in \dot{G}_{\alpha_n}$ .
- (6)  $q_{n+1} \restriction \alpha_n = q_n$ .
- (7)  $q_{n+1} \Vdash_{P_{\alpha_{n+1}}} \dot{x}_{n+1} \leq_\nu \dot{x}_n \restriction \dot{G}_{\alpha_{n+1}} \restriction \alpha_n$  and  $\exists s <_T t_n (\dot{x}_{n+1}, s) \in \check{B}$ .

The construction is by recursion on  $n < \omega$ . For  $n = 0$ , let  $\dot{x}_0, q_0$  be as specified. Now suppose we have  $\dot{x}_n$  and  $q_n$ . Since (4) and (5) hold, we have  $q_{n+1} \in P_{\alpha_{n+1}}$  s.t.  $q_{n+1} \restriction \alpha_n = q_n$ ,  $q_{n+1}$  is  $(P_{\alpha_{n+1}}, N)$ -generic and  $q_{n+1} \Vdash_{P_{\alpha_{n+1}}} \dot{x}_n \restriction \dot{G}_{\alpha_{n+1}} \restriction \alpha_n \in \dot{G}_{\alpha_{n+1}}$  by (0.2) iteration lemma for proper. Since  $\Vdash_{P_{\alpha_{n+1}}} (T, <_T)$  remains to be a Souslin tree, we know  $(q_{n+1}, t_n)$  is  $(P_{\alpha_{n+1}} \times T, N)$ -generic by (1.1) proposition.

Now in order to get a  $P_{\alpha_{n+1}}$ -name  $\dot{x}_{n+1}$ , let us fix an arbitrary  $P_{\alpha_{n+1}}$ -generic filter  $G_{\alpha_{n+1}}$  over  $V$  with  $q_{n+1} \in G_{\alpha_{n+1}}$ . Let  $G_{\alpha_n} = G_{\alpha_{n+1}} \restriction \alpha_n$ . We know  $G_{\alpha_n}$  is a  $P_{\alpha_n}$ -generic filter over  $V$  with  $q_n \in G_{\alpha_n}$ . Let  $x_n = \dot{x}_n \restriction G_{\alpha_n}$ . Then  $x_n \in P_\nu \cap N$  and  $x_n \restriction \alpha_{n+1} \in G_{\alpha_{n+1}}$  hold. Let  $D = \{(a, s) \in P_{\alpha_{n+1}} \times T \mid a \text{ and } x_n \restriction \alpha_{n+1} \text{ are incompatible in } P_{\alpha_{n+1}}\} \cup \{(a, s) \in P_{\alpha_{n+1}} \times T \mid \exists x \in P_\nu (x \leq_\nu x_n, (x, s) \in B \text{ and } x \restriction \alpha_{n+1} = a)\}$ . Then  $D$  is a predense subset of  $P_{\alpha_{n+1}} \times T$  and  $D \in N$ . Hence  $D \cap N$  is predense below  $(q_{n+1}, t_n)$ . For convenience sake, let us fix a  $T$ -generic filter  $G_T$  over  $V[G_{\alpha_{n+1}}]$  with  $t_n \in G_T$ . Then there is  $(a, s) \in D \cap N \cap (G_{\alpha_{n+1}} \times G_T)$ . Since  $a \in G_{\alpha_{n+1}}$  and  $x_n \restriction \alpha_{n+1} \in G_{\alpha_{n+1}}$ , there must be  $x \in P_\nu$  s.t.  $x \leq_\nu x_n$ ,  $(x, s) \in B$  and  $x \restriction \alpha_{n+1} = a$ . Since  $(P_\nu, \leq_\nu, 1_\nu), x_n, s, B, \alpha_{n+1}$  and  $a$  are all in  $N$ , we may assume  $x \in N$ . Since  $s \in N \cap G_T$  and  $t_n \in G_T$ , we have  $s <_T t_n$ . Let  $\dot{x}_{n+1}$  be a  $P_{\alpha_{n+1}}$ -name of this  $x$ . This completes the construction.

Let  $q = \bigcup \{q_n \mid n < \omega\} \restriction 1_\nu \restriction [\sup(\nu \cap N), \nu)$ . Then  $q \in P_\nu$ . We claim  $q \Vdash_{P_\nu} \forall n < \omega \exists s \in \dot{A} s <_T t_n$  and so  $q \Vdash \dot{A} \subseteq T \restriction \delta$ . To this end let  $G_\nu$  be an arbitrary  $P_\nu$ -generic filter over  $V$  with  $q \in G_\nu$ . Put  $G_{\alpha_n} = G_\nu \restriction \alpha_n$  and  $x_n = \dot{x}_n \restriction G_{\alpha_n}$  for each  $n < \omega$ .

Since  $q_n \in G_{\alpha_n}$  holds for all  $n < \omega$ , we have

- (8)  $x_0 = p$ .
- (9)  $x_n \in P_\nu \cap N$  and  $x_n \restriction \alpha_n \in G_{\alpha_n}$ .
- (10)  $x_{n+1} \leq_\nu x_n$  and  $\exists s <_T t_n (x_{n+1}, s) \in B$ .

Since  $x_n \in P_\nu \cap N$ , we know  $\text{supp}(x_n) \subseteq P_\nu \cap N$  for all  $n < \omega$ . We conclude  $x_n \in G_\nu$  for all  $n < \omega$ . Therefore for all  $n < \omega$  there is  $s \in \dot{A}[G_\nu]$  with  $s <_T t_n$ . Since  $G_\nu$  is an arbitrary  $P_\nu$ -generic filter over  $V$  with  $q \in G_\nu$ , we have  $q \leq_\nu p$ .

**(1.3) Theorem.** Let  $((P_\alpha, \leq_\alpha, 1_\alpha)_{\alpha \leq \nu}, (\dot{Q}_\alpha, \dot{\leq}_\alpha, \dot{1}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \nu})$  be a countable support iteration of arbitrary length  $\nu$ . If for all  $\alpha < \nu$   $\Vdash_{P_\alpha} "(\dot{Q}_\alpha, \dot{\leq}_\alpha, \dot{1}_\alpha) \text{ is proper and preserves every Souslin tree}"$ , then  $(P_\nu, \leq_\nu, 1_\nu)$  is proper and preserves every Souslin tree.

**Proof.** Immediate from (1.2) lemma. ⊥

**(1.4) Note.** There is a countable support iteration  $((P_n)_{n \leq \omega}, (\dot{Q}_n)_{n < \omega})$  s.t. every Souslin tree remains to be a Souslin tree in the generic extensions via  $P_n$  for all  $n < \omega$ . But  $P_\omega$  collapses  $\omega_1$ . ⊥

**(1.5) Proposition.** Every Souslin tree remains to be a Souslin tree in the generic extensions via the following notions of forcing.

- (1) Strongly proper preorders.
- (2) Preorders which appear in the forcing axiom SAD.

**Proof.** For (1): Suppose  $(P, \leq, 1)$  is a strongly proper preorder and  $(T, <_T)$  is a Souslin tree. Fix a sufficiently large regular cardinal  $\theta$  and a countable elementary substructure  $N$  of  $H_\theta$  with  $(P, \leq, 1), (T, <_T) \in N$ . Let  $p \in P \cap N$  and  $\delta = N \cap \omega_1$ . By (1.1) proposition it suffices to find  $q \leq p$  s.t. for all  $t \in T_\delta$   $(q, t)$  is  $(P \times T, N)$ -generic. Let  $\langle D_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  enumerate dense subsets of  $P \times T$  which are in  $N$ . For each  $(t, n) \in T_\delta \times \omega$ , let  $E_n^t = \{x \in P \cap N \mid \exists s <_T t (x, s) \in D_n\}$ . Since  $(T, <_T)$  is a Souslin tree, we know  $E_n^t$  is a dense subset of  $P \cap N$ . Since  $(P, \leq, 1)$  is strongly proper there is  $q \leq p$  s.t. for all  $(t, n) \in T_\delta \times \omega$   $E_n^t$  is predense below  $q$ . We conclude  $D_n \cap N$  is predense below  $(q, t)$  for all  $(t, n) \in T_\delta \times \omega$ .

For (2): Let  $U$  be a normal tree of height  $\omega_1$  which is appropriate for some array of directed sets and  $(T, <_T)$  be a Souslin tree. Suppose  $p \in U$  and  $\Vdash_U " \dot{A} \text{ is a maximal antichain of } T "$ . We want to find  $q \in U$  s.t.  $q \supseteq p$  and  $q \Vdash_U " \dot{A} \text{ is countable } "$ . Fix a sufficiently large regular cardinal  $\theta$  and  $\langle N_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  s.t.  $p, U, (T, <_T), \dot{A} \in N_0, N_n \in N_{n+1}$  and  $N_n$  is a countable elementary substructure of  $H_\theta$  for all  $n < \omega$ . Let  $N = \bigcup \{N_n \mid n < \omega\}$ ,  $\delta = N \cap \omega_1$  and  $\delta_n = N_n \cap \omega_1$  for each  $n < \omega$ . Then  $\delta_n < \omega_1, \delta_n < \delta_{n+1}$  for all  $n < \omega$  and  $\delta = \sup\{\delta_n \mid n < \omega\}$ . Let  $\langle t_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  enumerate  $T_\delta$  and for each  $n < \omega$   $s_n$  be the unique  $z \in T_{\delta_n}$  with  $z <_T t_n$ . Note  $s_n \in N_{n+1}$  holds for all  $n < \omega$ . We construct  $\langle W^n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  s.t. for all  $n < \omega$

1.  $W^n$  is a normal subtree of  $U \restriction \delta_n + 1$  and  $|W^n| = \omega$ .
2.  $W^n \restriction \delta_n \subseteq U \cap N_n$ .
3.  $\forall u \in W^n \restriction \delta_n \forall k < \omega (u \restriction \langle k \rangle \in U \text{ implies } u \restriction \langle k \rangle \in W^n)$ .
4.  $\forall z \in W_{\delta_n}^n \exists x \subset z \exists s <_T s_n x \Vdash_U " \dot{s} \in \dot{A} "$ .
5.  $W^n \in N_{n+1}$  and so  $W_{\delta_n}^n \subset U_{\delta_n} \cap N_{n+1}$ .
6.  $W^{n+1} \restriction \delta_n + 1 = W^n$ .

The construction is by recursion on  $n < \omega$ . We first construct  $W^0$ . Since  $T$  is a Souslin tree, we know  $\{x \in U \cap N_0 \mid \exists s <_T s_0 x \Vdash_U " \dot{s} \in \dot{A} "$  is a dense subset of  $U \cap N_0$ .

Now for each  $y \in U \cap N_0$  we associate  $\hat{y} \in U_{\delta_0}$  s.t. there is  $x \in U \cap N_0$  s.t.  $y \subseteq x \subset \hat{y}$  and for some  $s <_T s_0$   $x \Vdash \text{"}\dot{s} \in \dot{A}\text{"}$ . This is possible because  $U \cap N_0$  is a normal subtree of  $U \restriction \delta_0$  closed under taking the immediate successors and it is assumed that  $U$  is appropriate for some array of directed sets. Let  $W^0 = (U \cap N_0) \cup \{\hat{y} \mid y \in U \cap N_0\}$ . Then this  $W^0$  satisfies condition 1 through 4 for  $n = 0$ . Since relevant parameters are all in  $N_1$ , we may assume  $W^0 \in N_1$ .

Suppose we have gotten  $W^n$ . We know  $\{x \in U \cap N_{n+1} \mid \exists s <_T s_{n+1} \ x \Vdash \text{"}\dot{s} \in \dot{A}\text{"}\}$  is a dense subset of  $U \cap N_{n+1}$  as before. Now for each  $y \in U \cap N_{n+1}$  s.t. there is  $z \in W_{\delta_n}^n$  with  $y \supseteq z$ , we associate  $\hat{y} \in U_{\delta_{n+1}}$  s.t. there is  $x \in U \cap N_{n+1}$  s.t.  $y \subseteq x \subset \hat{y}$  and for some  $s <_T s_{n+1}$   $x \Vdash \text{"}\dot{s} \in \dot{A}\text{"}$ . This is possible because  $W^n \cup \{y \in U \cap N_{n+1} \mid \exists z \in W_{\delta_n}^n \ y \supseteq z\}$  is a normal subtree of  $U \restriction \delta_{n+1}$  closed under taking the immediate successors. Let  $W^{n+1} = W^n \cup \{y, \hat{y} \mid y \in U \cap N_{n+1} \text{ and } \exists z \in W_{\delta_n}^n \ y \supseteq z\}$ . Then this  $W^{n+1}$  satisfies condition 1 through 4 for  $n+1$  and condition 6. Since relevant parameters are all in  $N_{n+2}$ , we may choose  $W^{n+1}$  in  $N_{n+2}$ . This completes the construction of  $\langle W^n \mid n < \omega \rangle$ .

Let  $W = \bigcup \{W^n \mid n < \omega\}$ . Then  $W$  is a normal subtree of  $U \restriction \delta$  closed under taking the immediate successors. Since  $p \in W$  there is  $q \in U_\delta$  s.t.  $q \supset p$  and for all  $n < \omega$   $q \restriction \delta_n \in W^n$ . It is clear by the construction that for each  $n < \omega$  there is  $s <_T s_n <_T t_n$  s.t.  $q \Vdash \text{"}\dot{s} \in \dot{A}\text{"}$ . Since  $\{t_n \mid n < \omega\} = T_\delta$  and  $q \Vdash \text{"}\dot{A} \text{ is a maximal antichain of } \dot{T}\text{"}$ , we conclude  $q \Vdash \text{"}\dot{A} \subseteq T \restriction \delta\text{"}$ .

⊥

**(1.6) Note.** 1. There is a preorder which is not strongly proper but SAD is applicable. For each  $\alpha \in \Omega$ , let  $\eta_\alpha : \omega \rightarrow \alpha$  be an increasing and cofinal function such that for all  $n < \omega$   $\eta_\alpha(n)$  is a successor ordinal. Let  $E = \{\{\eta_\alpha(n), \alpha\} \mid n < \omega \text{ and } \alpha \in \Omega\}$ . Then  $(\omega_1, E)$  is a Hajnal-Mate graph (see [1]). Now force a coloring  $f : \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega$  s.t.  $\{x_1, x_2\} \in E$  implies  $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$ . This p.o.set is an example.

2. There is a preorder which is strongly proper but SAD is not applicable. Consider the perfect p.o.set.

3. There is a preorder which is strongly proper and SAD is applicable. For each  $\alpha \in \Omega$ , let  $f_\alpha : \alpha \rightarrow \omega$  be an arbitrary function. Force a function  $f : \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega$  s.t. for all  $\alpha \in \Omega$   $f \restriction \alpha \neq f_\alpha$ . This p.o.set is an example.

⊥

**(1.7) Corollary.** Countable support iterations of strongly proper preorders preserve every Souslin tree.

**Proof.** Since strongly proper preorders are iterable under countable support by (0.6) iteration theorem for strongly proper. This is immediate from (1.5) proposition.

⊥

## §2. $\sigma$ -Baire

In this section we review an argument on  $\sigma$ -Baire under countable support iterations from [2].



**(2.1) Proposition.** Let  $(P, \leq, 1)$  be a preorder. For all sufficiently large regular cardinal  $\theta$  and all countable elementary substructure  $N$  of  $H_\theta$  with  $(P, \leq, 1) \in N$  if we assume  $\forall p \in P \cap N \exists G \in \text{Gen}(P, N, p)$   $G$  has a lower bound in  $P$ , then  $(P, \leq, 1)$  is  $\sigma$ -Baire.

**Proof.** Given open dense subsets  $\langle D_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  of  $P$ . We want to show  $\bigcap \{D_n \mid n < \omega\}$  is a dense subset of  $P$ . To this end fix an arbitrary  $p \in P$ . Now take a sufficiently large regular cardinal  $\theta$  and a countable elementary substructure  $N$  of  $H_\theta$  with  $p, (P, \leq, 1), \langle D_n \mid n < \omega \rangle \in N$ . By assumption we have  $G \in \text{Gen}(P, N, p)$  with a lower bound  $q \in P$ . Since  $D_n \in N$ , there is  $x \in G \cap D_n$  and so  $q \leq x$  for all  $n < \omega$ . Since  $D_n$  is open for all  $n < \omega$ , we conclude  $q \in \bigcap \{D_n \mid n < \omega\}$ . Since  $p \in G$ , we have  $q \leq p$ . ⊥

**(2.2) Lemma.** Let  $((P_\alpha, \leq_\alpha, 1_\alpha)_{\alpha \leq \nu}, (\dot{Q}_\alpha, \dot{\leq}_\alpha, \dot{1}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \nu})$  be a countable support iteration such that  $\nu$  is a limit ordinal and for all  $\alpha < \nu$   $(P_\alpha, \leq_\alpha, 1_\alpha)$  is  $\sigma$ -Baire. Then  $(P_\nu, \leq_\nu, 1_\nu)$  is  $\sigma$ -Baire provided that

1. For all  $\alpha < \nu \Vdash_{P_\alpha} "(\dot{Q}_\alpha, \dot{\leq}_\alpha, \dot{1}_\alpha) \text{ is } \rho\text{-proper for all } \rho < \omega_1"$ .
2. For all sufficiently large regular cardinal  $\theta$  and all  $(\alpha, M_0, M_1, G, p)$  s.t.
  - (1)  $\alpha < \nu$ .

(2)  $M_0$  and  $M_1$  are countable elementary substructures of  $H_\theta$  s.t.  
 $(P_{\alpha+1}, \leq_{\alpha+1}, 1_{\alpha+1}) \in M_0 \in M_1$ .

(3)  $p \in P_\nu \cap M_0$ .

(4)  $G \in \text{Gen}(P_\alpha, M_0, p \restriction \alpha) \cap M_1$  and  $G$  has a lower bound in  $P_\alpha$ .

There is  $G^* \in \text{Gen}(P_{\alpha+1}, M_0, p \restriction \alpha + 1)$  s.t.

(5)  $G = \{x \restriction \alpha \mid x \in G^*\}$ .

(6) For any  $r \in P_\alpha$  if  $r$  is a lower bound of  $G$  and is  $(P_\alpha, M_1)$ -generic, then there is  $r^* \in P_{\alpha+1}$  such that  $r^* \restriction \alpha = r$  and  $r^*$  is a lower bound of  $G^*$ .

**Proof.** Fix a sufficiently large regular cardinal  $\theta$  and a sequence  $\langle N_i \mid i < \omega_1 \rangle$  of continuously increasing countable elementary substructures of  $H_\theta$  s.t.  $(P_\nu, \leq_\nu, 1_\nu) \in N_0$  and  $\langle N_k \mid k \leq i \rangle \in N_{i+1}$  for all  $i < \omega_1$ . Notice that we have  $(P_\alpha, \leq_\alpha, 1_\alpha) \in N_i$  for all  $\alpha \in N_i \cap \nu$ . Let  $\nu^*$  be the order type of  $(N_0 \cap \nu, \in)$  and  $\langle \alpha_i \mid i \leq \nu^* \rangle$  enumerate  $N_0 \cap (\nu + 1)$  in increasing order. Since  $|N_0| = \omega$ , we have  $\nu^* < \omega_1$ . Notice that  $\alpha_{\nu^*} = \nu$ ,  $\alpha_{i+1} = \alpha_i + 1$  for all  $i < \nu^*$  and  $\sup\{\alpha_j \mid j < i\} \leq \alpha_i$  for all limit ordinal  $i \leq \nu^*$ .

**Claim 1.** We have  $\varphi(j)$  for all  $j \leq \nu^*$ , where  $\varphi(j)$  means

For any  $i < j$ , any  $p \in P_\nu \cap N_0$  and any  $G \in \text{Gen}(P_{\alpha_i}, N_0, p \restriction \alpha_i) \cap N_{i+1}$  with a lower bound, we have  $G^* \in \text{Gen}(P_{\alpha_j}, N_0, p \restriction \alpha_j)$  s.t.  $G = G^* \restriction \alpha_i (= \{b \restriction \alpha_i \mid b \in G^*\})$  and the following condition (1) holds.

- (1) If a lower bound  $a$  of  $G$  is  $(P_{\alpha_i}, N_k)$ -generic for all  $k$  with  $i + 1 \leq k \leq j$ , then there is  $a^* \in P_{\alpha_j}$  s.t.  $a^*$  is a lower bound of  $G^*$  and  $a^* \restriction \alpha_i = a$ .

We show claim 1 by induction on  $j \leq \nu^*$ . But we first observe

**Claim 2.**  $\varphi(j)$  implies  $\varphi'(j)$  for all  $j \leq \nu^*$ , where  $\varphi'(j)$  means

For any  $i < j$ , any  $p \in P_\nu \cap N_0$  and any  $G \in \text{Gen}(P_{\alpha_i}, N_0, p[\alpha_i]) \cap N_{i+1}$  with a lower bound, we have  $G^* \in \text{Gen}(P_{\alpha_j}, N_0, p[\alpha_j]) \cap N_{j+1}$  s.t.  $G^*$  has a lower bound in  $P_{\alpha_j}$  and not only condition (1) above holds but also the following condition (2) is satisfied.

- (2) If a lower bound  $a$  of  $G$  is  $(P_{\alpha_i}, N_k)$ -generic for all  $k$  with  $i+1 \leq k \leq j$  and  $j+1 \leq k \leq l$  for some  $l < \omega_1$ , then there is  $a^* \in P_{\alpha_j}$  s.t.  $a^*$  is a lower bound of  $G^*$ ,  $a^*[\alpha_i = a$  and  $a^*$  is  $(P_{\alpha_j}, N_k)$ -generic for all  $k$  with  $j+1 \leq k \leq l$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $i < j$ ,  $p \in P_\nu \cap N_0$  and  $G \in \text{Gen}(P_{\alpha_i}, N_0, p[\alpha_i]) \cap N_{i+1}$  with a lower bound. Then by  $\varphi(j)$  we have  $G^* \in \text{Gen}(P_{\alpha_j}, N_0, p[\alpha_j])$  s.t.  $G = G^*[\alpha_i$  and (1) holds. Since relevant parameters are all in  $N_{j+1}$ . We may assume  $G^* \in N_{j+1}$ . We claim this  $G^*$  works. Now since  $G \in N_{i+1}$  and  $G$  has a lower bound, we may take a lower bound of  $G$  in  $N_{i+1}$ . Once we take the lower bound of  $G$  in  $N_{i+1}$ , we may fix a condition  $a$  of  $P_{\alpha_i}$  which sits below the lower bound taken and is  $(P_{\alpha_i}, N_k)$ -generic for all  $k$  with  $i+1 \leq k \leq j$ . This is possible because  $P_{\alpha_i}$  is  $\rho$ -proper for all  $\rho < \omega_1$ . Then by condition (1), there is a lower bound  $a^*$  of  $G^*$  in  $P_{\alpha_j}$ . So  $G^*$  has a lower bound.

Now we establish condition (2). Suppose  $a$  is a lower bound of  $G$  in  $P_{\alpha_i}$  and is  $(P_{\alpha_i}, N_k)$ -generic for all  $k$  with  $i+1 \leq k \leq j$  and  $j+1 \leq k \leq l$  for some  $l < \omega_1$ . We claim that there is a  $P_{\alpha_i}$ -name  $\tau$  s.t.  $a \Vdash \text{"}\tau \in P_{\alpha_j} \cap N_{j+1}, \tau[\alpha_i \in \dot{G}_{\alpha_i} \text{ and } \tau \text{ is a lower bound of } G^* \text{ in } P_{\alpha_j}\text{"}$ . This is because given an arbitrary  $P_{\alpha_i}$ -generic filter  $G_{\alpha_i}$  over  $V$  with  $a \in G_{\alpha_i}$ . By (1) we have  $y \in P_{\alpha_j}$  s.t.  $y[\alpha_i \in G_{\alpha_i}$  and  $y$  is a lower bound of  $G^*$  in  $P_{\alpha_j}$ . Since relevant parameters involved are all in  $N_{j+1}[G_{\alpha_i}]$  and  $(N_{j+1}[G_{\alpha_i}], \in)$  is a countable elementary substructure of  $(H_\theta^{V[G_{\alpha_i}]}, \in)$ . We may assume that  $y \in P_{\alpha_j} \cap N_{j+1}[G_{\alpha_i}] = P_{\alpha_j} \cap N_{j+1}$ . Let  $\tau$  be a  $P_{\alpha_i}$ -name of this  $y$ . We now apply (0.4) iteration lemma for  $\rho$ -proper. Since  $a$  is  $(P_{\alpha_i}, N_k)$ -generic for all  $k$  with  $j+1 \leq k \leq l$  and  $a \Vdash \text{"}\tau \in P_{\alpha_j} \cap N_{j+1} \text{ and } \tau[\alpha_i \in \dot{G}_{\alpha_i}\text{"}$ . We have this time  $a^* \in P_{\alpha_j}$  s.t.  $a^*[\alpha_i = a$ ,  $a^*$  is  $(P_{\alpha_j}, N_k)$ -generic for all  $k$  with  $j+1 \leq k \leq l$  and  $a^* \Vdash_{P_{\alpha_j}} \text{"}\tau[\dot{G}_{\alpha_j}[\alpha_i] \in \dot{G}_{\alpha_j}\text{"}$ . Since  $a \Vdash_{P_{\alpha_i}} \text{"}\tau \text{ is a lower bound of } G^* \text{ in } P_{\alpha_j}\text{"}$ , we conclude  $a^* \Vdash_{P_{\alpha_j}} \text{"}G^* \subseteq \dot{G}_{\alpha_j}\text{"}$  and so  $a^*$  is a lower bound of  $G^*$  in  $P_{\alpha_j}$ . This completes the proof of claim 2. ⊢

We next observe that claim 1 and 2 imply

**Claim 3.** For any  $p \in P_\nu \cap N_0$  there is  $G^* \in \text{Gen}(P_\nu, N_0, p)$  with a lower bound in  $P_\nu$  and so  $(P_\nu, \leq_\nu, 1_\nu)$  is  $\sigma$ -Baire by (2.1) propotision.

**Proof.** We simply take  $i = 0$ ,  $G = \{\emptyset\} \in \text{Gen}(P_0, N_0, \emptyset) \cap N_1$  and  $a = \emptyset$  in  $\varphi'(\nu^*)$ . We get  $G^*$  as claimed. ⊢

Now we show claim 1 by induction on  $j \leq \nu^*$ .

**Case 1:**  $j$  is a successor ordinal, say,  $j = j_0 + 1$ .

Given  $i < j_0 + 1$ ,  $p \in P_\nu \cap N_0$  and  $G \in \text{Gen}(P_{\alpha_i}, N_0, p[\alpha_i]) \cap N_{i+1}$  with a lower bound.

**Subcase 1:**  $i < j_0$ .

By applying  $\varphi'(j_0)$ , we have  $G^\dagger \in \text{Gen}(P_{\alpha_{j_0}}, N_0, p \restriction \alpha_{j_0}) \cap N_{j_0+1}$  with a lower bound and  $G^\dagger \restriction \alpha_i = G$  s.t.

- (1) For any  $a \in P_{\alpha_i}$  if  $a$  is a lower bound of  $G$  and  $a$  is  $(P_{\alpha_i}, N_k)$ -generic for all  $k$  with  $i+1 \leq k \leq j_0$ , then there is  $a^\dagger \in P_{\alpha_{j_0}}$  s.t.  $a^\dagger$  is a lower bound of  $G^\dagger$  and  $a^\dagger \restriction \alpha_i = a$ .
- (2) For any  $a \in P_{\alpha_i}$  if  $a$  is a lower bound of  $G$  and  $a$  is  $(P_{\alpha_i}, N_k)$ -generic for all  $k$  with  $i+1 \leq k \leq j_0$  and  $j_0+1 \leq k \leq l$  for some  $l < \omega_1$ , then there is  $a^\dagger \in P_{\alpha_{j_0}}$  s.t.  $a^\dagger$  is a lower bound of  $G^\dagger$ ,  $a^\dagger \restriction \alpha_i = a$  and  $a^\dagger$  is  $(P_{\alpha_{j_0}}, N_k)$ -generic for all  $k$  with  $j_0+1 \leq k \leq l$ .

Since  $\theta$  is a sufficiently large regular cardinal and  $(\alpha_{j_0}, N_0, N_{j_0+1}, G^\dagger, p)$  is s.t.

- (1)  $\alpha_{j_0} < \nu$ .
- (2)  $N_0$  and  $N_{j_0+1}$  are countable elementary substructures of  $H_\theta$  s.t.  
 $(P_{\alpha_{j_0}+1}, \leq_{\alpha_{j_0}+1}, 1_{\alpha_{j_0}+1}) \in N_0 \in N_{j_0+1}$ .
- (3)  $p \in P_\nu \cap N_0$ .
- (4)  $G^\dagger \in \text{Gen}(P_{\alpha_{j_0}}, N_0, p \restriction \alpha_{j_0}) \cap N_{j_0+1}$  with a lower bound in  $P_{\alpha_{j_0}}$ .

We apply the assumption of this lemma. So we have  $G^* \in \text{Gen}(P_{\alpha_{j_0}+1}, N_0, p \restriction \alpha_{j_0+1})$  s.t.

- (5)  $G^* \restriction \alpha_{j_0} = G^\dagger$  and so  $G^* \restriction \alpha_i = G$ .
- (6) For all lower bound  $a^\dagger$  of  $G^\dagger$  if  $a^\dagger$  is  $(P_{\alpha_{j_0}}, N_{j_0+1})$ -generic, then there is  $a^* \in P_{\alpha_{j_0}+1}$  s.t.  $a^*$  is a lower bound of  $G^*$  and  $a^* \restriction \alpha_{j_0} = a^\dagger$ .

To show this  $G^*$  works for (1) in  $\varphi(j)$ , fix a lower bound  $a$  of  $G$  s.t.  $a$  is  $(P_{\alpha_i}, N_k)$ -generic for all  $k$  with  $i+1 \leq k \leq j_0+1$ . Then there is  $a^\dagger \in P_{\alpha_{j_0}}$  s.t.  $a^\dagger$  is a lower bound of  $G^\dagger$ ,  $a^\dagger \restriction \alpha_i = a$  and  $a^\dagger$  is  $(P_{\alpha_{j_0}}, N_{j_0+1})$ -generic by (2) in  $\varphi'(j_0)$ . Now by (6) just above, there is  $a^* \in P_{\alpha_{j_0}+1}$  s.t.  $a^*$  is a lower bound of  $G^*$  and  $a^* \restriction \alpha_{j_0} = a^\dagger$  and so  $a^* \restriction \alpha_i = a$ . This completes subcase 1.

**Subcase 2:**  $i = j_0$  i.e.  $j = i+1$ .

This case is done by simply repeating a part of previous subcase. We are given  $p \in P_\nu \cap N_0$  and  $G \in \text{Gen}(P_{\alpha_i}, N_0, p \restriction \alpha_i) \cap N_{i+1}$  with a lower bound in  $P_{\alpha_i}$ . Since  $(\alpha_i, N_0, N_{i+1}, G, p)$  is s.t.

- (1)  $\alpha_i \in \nu$ .
- (2)  $N_0$  and  $N_{i+1}$  are countable elementary substructures of  $H_\theta$  s.t.  
 $(P_{\alpha_i+1}, \leq_{\alpha_i+1}, 1_{\alpha_i+1}) \in N_0 \in N_{i+1}$ .
- (3)  $p \in P_\nu \cap N_0$ .
- (4)  $G \in \text{Gen}(P_{\alpha_i}, N_0, p \restriction \alpha_i) \cap N_{i+1}$  with a lower bound.

By assumption there is  $G^* \in \text{Gen}(P_{\alpha_i+1}, N_0, p \restriction \alpha_{i+1})$  s.t.

- (5)  $G^* \restriction \alpha_i = G$ .
- (6) For any lower bound  $a$  of  $G$  if  $a$  is  $(P_{\alpha_i}, N_{i+1})$ -generic then there is  $a^* \in P_{\alpha_{i+1}}$  s.t.  $a^*$  is a lower bound of  $G^*$  and  $a^* \restriction \alpha_i = a$ .

This completes subcase 2 and case 1.

**Case 2:**  $j$  is a limit ordinal.

Since  $j$  is a countable limit ordinal, we may fix a sequence  $\langle j_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  of ordinals s.t.  $j_0 = i$ ,  $j_n < j_{n+1}$  for all  $n < \omega$  and  $\sup\{j_n \mid n < \omega\} = j$ . Note that  $\sup\{\alpha_{j_n} \mid n < \omega\} \leq \alpha_j$ . Suppose  $p \in P_\nu \cap N_0$  and  $G \in \text{Gen}(P_{\alpha_i}, N_0, p \upharpoonright \alpha_i) \cap N_{i+1}$ . Let  $\langle D_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  be an enumeration of the open dense subsets of  $P_{\alpha_j}$  which belong to  $N_0$ . We construct  $\langle p_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  and  $\langle G^n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  s.t. for all  $n < \omega$

- (a)  $p_0 = p \upharpoonright \alpha_j$  and  $G^0 = G$ .
- (b)  $p_n \in P_{\alpha_j} \cap N_0$  and  $G^n \in \text{Gen}(P_{\alpha_{j_n}}, N_0, p_n \upharpoonright \alpha_{j_n}) \cap N_{j_{n+1}}$  with a lower bound in  $P_{\alpha_{j_n}}$ .
- (c)  $p_{n+1} \in D_n \cap N_0$ ,  $p_n \geq p_{n+1}$  and  $G^{n+1} \upharpoonright \alpha_{j_n} = G^n$ .
- (d) For any  $x \in P_{\alpha_{j_n}}$  if  $x$  is a lower bound of  $G^n$  and is  $(P_{\alpha_{j_n}}, N_k)$ -generic for all  $k$  with  $j_n + 1 \leq k \leq j_{n+1}$ , then there is  $y \in P_{\alpha_{j_{n+1}}}$  s.t.  $y$  is a lower bound of  $G^{n+1}$  and  $y \upharpoonright \alpha_{j_n} = x$ .
- (e) For any  $x \in P_{\alpha_{j_n}}$  if  $x$  is a lower bound of  $G^n$  and is  $(P_{\alpha_{j_n}}, N_k)$ -generic for all  $k$  with  $j_n + 1 \leq k \leq j_{n+1}$  and  $j_{n+1} + 1 \leq k \leq l$  for some  $l < \omega_1$ , then there is  $z \in P_{\alpha_{j_{n+1}}}$  s.t.  $z$  is a lower bound of  $G^{n+1}$ ,  $z \upharpoonright \alpha_{j_n} = x$  and  $z$  is  $(P_{\alpha_{j_{n+1}}}, N_k)$ -generic for all  $k$  with  $j_{n+1} + 1 \leq k \leq l$ .

The construction is by a simultaneous recursion on  $n < \omega$ . Suppose we have constructed  $p_n$  and  $G^n$  s.t. (a) and (b) are satisfied. Let  $D = \{x \in P_{\alpha_{j_n}} \mid x \text{ and } p_n \upharpoonright \alpha_{j_n} \text{ are incompatible in } P_{\alpha_{j_n}}\} \cup \{x \in P_{\alpha_{j_n}} \mid \exists d \in D_n \ p_n \geq d \text{ and } d \upharpoonright \alpha_{j_n} = x\}$ . Then  $D$  is an open dense subset of  $P_{\alpha_{j_n}}$  and  $D \in N_0$ . By (b) we have  $x$  in  $D \cap G^n$ . Since  $p_n \upharpoonright \alpha_{j_n} \in G^n$  and  $G^n$  is directed, there must be  $d \in D_n$  s.t.  $p_n \geq d$  and  $d \upharpoonright \alpha_{j_n} = x$ . Since parameters  $D_n, p_n, \geq, \alpha_{j_n}$  and  $x$  are all in  $N_0$ , we may assume  $d \in D_n \cap N_0$ . We put  $p_{n+1} = d$ . Since we have  $G^n \in \text{Gen}(P_{\alpha_{j_n}}, N_0, p_{n+1} \upharpoonright \alpha_{j_n}) \cap N_{j_{n+1}}$  with a lower bound. We apply  $\varphi'(j_{n+1})$ . So there is  $G^{n+1} \in \text{Gen}(P_{\alpha_{j_{n+1}}}, N_0, p_{n+1} \upharpoonright \alpha_{j_{n+1}}) \cap N_{j_{n+1}+1}$  s.t.  $G^{n+1}$  has a lower bound,  $G^{n+1} \upharpoonright \alpha_{j_n} = G^n$  and (d) and (e) are satisfied. This completes the construction of  $\langle p_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  and  $\langle G^n \mid n < \omega \rangle$ . Let  $G^* = \{x \in P_{\alpha_j} \cap N_0 \mid \exists n < \omega \ p_n \leq x\}$ . Since  $p_n \in G^*$  for all  $n < \omega$ , we conclude  $G^* \in \text{Gen}(P_{\alpha_j}, N_0, p \upharpoonright \alpha_j)$  and so  $G^* \upharpoonright \alpha_i$  is in  $\text{Gen}(P_{\alpha_i}, N_0, p \upharpoonright \alpha_i)$ . Since both  $G^* \upharpoonright \alpha_i$  and  $G$  are in  $\text{Gen}(P_{\alpha_i}, N_0, p \upharpoonright \alpha_i)$  with  $G^* \upharpoonright \alpha_i \subseteq G$ , we get  $G^* \upharpoonright \alpha_i = G$ . Now given any  $a \in P_{\alpha_i}$  s.t.  $a$  is a lower bound of  $G$  and is  $(P_{\alpha_i}, N_k)$ -generic for all  $k$  with  $i + 1 \leq k \leq j$ . We must show there is  $a^* \in P_{\alpha_j}$  s.t.  $a^*$  is a lower bound of  $G^*$  and  $a^* \upharpoonright \alpha_i = a$ . To this end fix such  $a$ . We construct  $\langle a_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  s.t. for all  $n < \omega$

- (f)  $a_0 = a$ .
- (g)  $a_n \in P_{\alpha_{j_n}}$ ,  $a_n$  is a lower bound of  $G^n$  and is  $(P_{\alpha_{j_n}}, N_k)$ -generic for all  $k$  with  $j_n + 1 \leq k \leq j$ .
- (h)  $a_{n+1} \upharpoonright \alpha_{j_n} = a_n$ .

The construction is by recursion on  $n < \omega$ . Suppose we have constructed  $a_n$  s.t. (f) and (g) are satisfied. In (e), take  $l = j$ . Then we have  $a_{n+1} \in P_{\alpha_{j_{n+1}}}$  s.t.  $a_{n+1}$  is a lower bound of  $G^{n+1}$ ,  $a_{n+1} \upharpoonright \alpha_{j_n} = a_n$  and  $a_{n+1}$  is  $(P_{\alpha_{j_{n+1}}}, N_k)$ -generic for all  $k$  with  $j_{n+1} + 1 \leq k \leq j$ . This completes the construction of  $\langle a_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$ .

By (h) there is  $a^* \in P_{\alpha_j}$  s.t.  $a^* \upharpoonright \alpha_{j_n} = a_n$  for all  $n < \omega$  and  $\text{supp}(p^*) \subseteq \sup\{\alpha_{j_n} \mid n < \omega\}$ . Since  $p_n \in P_{\alpha_j} \cap N_0$ , we have  $\text{supp}(p_n) \subseteq \alpha_j \cap N_0 \subseteq \sup\{\alpha_{j_n} \mid n < \omega\}$  for all  $n < \omega$ . Hence  $a^* \leq p_n$  for all  $n < \omega$ . We conclude  $a^*$  is a lower bound of  $G^*$ . This finishes case 2 and the proof of Claim 1.

+

### §3. Con( SAD + $\neg$ SH )

**(3.1) Proposition.** Let  $U$  be a normal tree of height  $\omega_1$  appropriate for an array of directed sets  $D$ . For any  $(\theta, N, p)$  if we assume

1.  $\theta$  is a regular cardinal with  $\theta > 2^{2^\omega}$ .
2.  $N$  is a countable elementary substructure of  $H_\theta$  with  $U \in N$ .
3.  $p \in U \cap N$ .

Then there is  $W$  such that, if  $\delta = N \cap \omega_1$ , then

1.  $W$  is a normal subtree of  $U \upharpoonright \delta$  and so  $\text{height}(W) = \delta$ .
2.  $p \in W \subseteq U \cap N \subseteq U \upharpoonright \delta$ .
3.  $\forall a \in W \forall n < \omega$  if  $a \upharpoonright \langle n \rangle \in U$ , then  $a \upharpoonright \langle n \rangle \in W$ .
4. For any  $h \in {}^\delta \omega$  if  $\forall \xi < \delta$   $h \upharpoonright \xi \in W$ , then  $\{h \upharpoonright \xi \mid \xi < \delta\} \in \text{Gen}(U, N)$ .

Furthermore there is  $W^*$  such that

1.  $W^*$  is a normal subtree of  $U \upharpoonright \delta + 1$  s.t.  $|W^*| = \omega$  and  $W^* \upharpoonright \delta = W$ .
2. For all  $h \in W_\delta^* \{h \upharpoonright \xi \mid \xi < \delta\} \in \text{Gen}(U, N)$  and so  $h$  is  $(U, N)$ -generic.

Also for any non-empty countable subset  $X$  of  $D_{\delta, p}$ , there is  $q \in U_\delta \cap \bigcap X$  s.t.  $\{q \upharpoonright \xi \mid \xi < \delta\} \in \text{Gen}(U, N, p)$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $\theta$  is a regular cardinal with  $\theta > 2^{2^\omega}$  and  $N$  is a countable elementary substructure of  $H_\theta$  with  $U \in N$ . Let  $p \in U \cap N$ .

**Claim.** We may fix a sequence  $\langle N_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  of countable elementary substructures of  $H_{(2^\omega)^+}$  s.t.

1.  $U, p \in N_0$ .
2.  $N_n \in N_{n+1}$  for all  $n < \omega$ .
3.  $\bigcup \{N_n \mid n < \omega\} = N \cap H_{(2^\omega)^+}$ .

**Proof.** Since  $N$  is an elementary substructure of  $H_\theta$  and  $\theta > 2^{2^\omega}$ , we have  $H_{(2^\omega)^+} \in N$  and so  $N \cap H_{(2^\omega)^+}$  is a countable elementary substructure of  $H_{(2^\omega)^+}$ . Let  $\langle x_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  enumerate  $N \cap H_{(2^\omega)^+}$ . There is a countable elementary substructure  $N_0$  of  $H_{(2^\omega)^+}$  with  $U, p, x_0 \in N_0$ . Since parameters  $H_{(2^\omega)^+}, U, p$  and  $x_0$  are all in  $N$ , we may assume  $N_0 \in N$ . Now suppose we have  $N_n \in N$  s.t.  $N_n$  is a countable elementary substructure of  $H_{(2^\omega)^+}$  with  $x_n \in N_n$ . There is  $N_{n+1}$  s.t.  $N_{n+1}$  is a countable elementary substructure of  $H_{(2^\omega)^+}$  with  $N_n, x_{n+1} \in N_{n+1}$ . Since parameters  $H_{(2^\omega)^+}, N_n$  and  $x_{n+1}$  are all in  $N$ , we may assume  $N_{n+1} \in N$ . This way we get  $\langle N_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$ . Since  $x_n \in N_n \in N$  and  $|N_n| = \omega$  we

have  $N_n \subset N$  and so  $N \cap H_{(2^\omega)^+} = \{x_n \mid n < \omega\} \subseteq \bigcup \{N_n \mid n < \omega\} \subseteq N \cap H_{(2^\omega)^+}$ . This completes the proof of claim.  $\dashv$

Let  $\langle D_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  enumerate the open dense subsets of  $U$  which belong to  $N$ . Since  $D_n \in N \cap H_{(2^\omega)^+} = \bigcup \{N_n \mid n < \omega\}$ , we may assume  $D_n \in N_n$  for all  $n < \omega$ . Let  $\delta_n = N_n \cap \omega_1$  for each  $n < \omega$  and let  $\delta = N \cap \omega_1$ . We construct  $\langle W^n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  s.t. for all  $n < \omega$

- (1)  $W^n$  is a normal subtree of  $U \upharpoonright \delta_n + 1$ ,  $|W^n| = \omega$  and  $W^n \in N_{n+1}$ .
- (2)  $W^n \upharpoonright \delta_n \subseteq N_n$ .
- (3) For all  $x \in W_{\delta_n}^n$  there is  $y \in D_n \cap N_n$  s.t.  $y \subset x$ .
- (4) For all  $a \in W^n \upharpoonright \delta_n$  and all  $k < \omega$  if  $a \smallfrown \langle k \rangle \in U$ , then  $a \smallfrown \langle k \rangle \in W^n$ .
- (5)  $W^{n+1} \upharpoonright \delta_n + 1 = W^n$ .

The construction is by recursion on  $n < \omega$ . Since for any  $z \in U \cap N_0$  there is  $y \in D_0 \cap N_0$  s.t.  $z \subseteq y$  and  $U \cap N_0$  is a normal subtree of  $U \upharpoonright \delta_0$  closed under taking the immediate successors. So for all  $y \in U \cap N_0$  there exists  $x \in U_{\delta_0}$  s.t.  $y \subset x$ . We may construct  $W^0$  in  $N_1$  s.t. each condition (1) through (4) for  $n = 0$  is satisfied. Suppose we got  $W^n$ . Since  $W_{\delta_n}^n \in N_{n+1}$  and  $|W_{\delta_n}^n| = \omega$ , so  $W_{\delta_n}^n \subset N_{n+1}$  holds. Since for any  $z \in U \cap N_{n+1}$  there is  $y \in D_{n+1} \cap N_{n+1}$  s.t.  $z \subseteq y$ . And for all  $y \in U \cap N_{n+1}$  there exists  $x \in U_{\delta_{n+1}}$  s.t.  $y \subset x$ . We may construct  $W^{n+1}$ . This completes the construction. Let  $W = \bigcup \{W^n \mid n < \omega\}$ . Since  $\delta = \sup \{\delta_n \mid n < \omega\}$ , we claim this  $W$  works.

We next construct  $W^*$ . Since  $U$  is appropriate for  $D$  and  $W$  is a normal subtree of  $U \upharpoonright \delta$  closed under taking the immediate successors. For any  $f \in W$  we may associate  $\hat{f} \in U_\delta$  s.t. for all  $\xi < \delta$   $\hat{f} \upharpoonright \xi \in W$  holds. Let  $W^* = W \cup \{\hat{f} \mid f \in W\}$ . This  $W^*$  works.

Lastly for any countable  $X \subseteq D_{\delta,p}$  with  $X \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $\bigcap X \in D_{\delta,p}$  and so there is  $q \in U_\delta \cap \bigcap X$  s.t.  $q \supset p$  and for all  $\xi < \delta$   $q \upharpoonright \xi \in W$  holds and so  $\{q \upharpoonright \xi \mid \xi < \delta\} \in \text{Gen}(U, N, p)$ . This completes the proof of (3.1).  $\dashv$

**(3.2) Lemma.** Let  $U$  be a normal tree of height  $\omega_1$  appropriate for an array of directed sets  $D$ . Then

1.  $(U, \leq, \emptyset)$  is  $\sigma$ -Baire.
2.  $(U, \leq, \emptyset)$  is  $\rho$ -proper for all  $\rho < \omega_1$ .

**Proof.** For 1. By (3.1) for all sufficiently large regular cardinal  $\theta$  and all countable elementary substructure  $N$  of  $H_\theta$  with  $U \in N$ , we know for all  $p \in U \cap N$  there exists  $G \in \text{Gen}(U, N, p)$  s.t.  $G$  has a lower bound in  $U$ . By (2.1)  $U$  is  $\sigma$ -Baire.

For 2. Let  $\theta$  be a sufficiently large regular cardinal and  $p \in U$ . Fix a continuously increasing countable elementary substructures  $\langle N_\xi \mid \xi < \omega_1 \rangle$  of  $H_\theta$  s.t.  $U, p \in N_0$  and  $\langle N_\eta \mid \eta \leq \xi \rangle \in N_{\xi+1}$  for all  $\xi < \omega_1$ . Let  $\delta_\xi = N_\xi \cap \omega_1$  for each  $\xi < \omega_1$ .

**Claim.**  $\varphi(\xi)$  holds for all  $\xi < \omega_1$ , where  $\varphi(\xi)$  means

For any  $\eta < \xi$  and any  $W$  s.t.

1.  $W$  is a normal subtree of  $U \upharpoonright \delta_\eta + 1$  and  $p \in W \in N_{\eta+1}$ .
2.  $W \upharpoonright \delta_\eta \subseteq U \cap N_\eta \subseteq U \upharpoonright \delta_\eta$  and  $|W| = \omega$ .
3.  $\forall a \in W \upharpoonright \delta_\eta \forall k < \omega \ a \smallfrown \langle k \rangle \in U$  implies  $a \smallfrown \langle k \rangle \in W$ .
4.  $\forall h \in W_{\delta_\eta} \forall \bar{\eta} \leq \eta \ h$  is  $(U, N_{\bar{\eta}})$ -generic.

There is  $W^*$  s.t.

1.  $W^*$  is a normal subtree of  $U \upharpoonright \delta_\xi + 1$  and  $W^* \in N_{\xi+1}$ .
2.  $W^* \upharpoonright \delta_\xi \subseteq U \cap N_\xi \subseteq U \upharpoonright \delta_\xi$  and  $|W^*| = \omega$ .
3.  $\forall a \in W^* \upharpoonright \delta_\xi \forall k < \omega \ a \smallfrown \langle k \rangle \in U$  implies  $a \smallfrown \langle k \rangle \in W^*$
4.  $\forall h \in W_{\delta_\xi}^* \forall \bar{\xi} \leq \xi \ h$  is  $(U, N_{\bar{\xi}})$ -generic.
5.  $W^* \upharpoonright \delta_\eta + 1 = W$ .

**Proof.** By induction on  $\xi < \omega_1$ . Fix  $\eta < \xi < \omega_1$  and  $W$  as in the hypothesis.

**Case 1:**  $\xi$  is a successor ordinal.

Without loss of generality we may assume  $\xi = \eta + 1$ . For each  $x \in W_{\delta_\eta}$ , since  $x \in N_\xi$ , we may apply (3.1) proposition for  $x$  by putting  $N = N_\xi$ . So there is  $W_x$  s.t.

1.  $W_x$  is a normal subtree of  $U \upharpoonright \delta_\xi + 1$  and  $x \in W_x$ .
2.  $W_x \upharpoonright \delta_\xi \subseteq U \cap N_\xi \subseteq U \upharpoonright \delta_\xi$  and  $|W_x| = \omega$ .
3.  $\forall a \in W_x \upharpoonright \delta_\xi \forall k < \omega \ a \smallfrown \langle k \rangle \in U$  implies  $a \smallfrown \langle k \rangle \in W_x$ .
4. For all  $h \in (W_x)_{\delta_\xi}$   $h$  is  $(U, N_\xi)$ -generic.

Let  $W^* = W \cup \bigcup \{y \in W_x \mid y \supseteq x \text{ and } x \in W_{\delta_\eta}\}$ . Since parameters  $U, \delta_\xi, N_\xi, \langle N_{\bar{\xi}} \mid \bar{\xi} \leq \xi \rangle, \delta_\eta$  and  $W$  are all in  $N_{\xi+1}$ , we may assume  $W^* \in N_{\xi+1}$ . This  $W^*$  works.

**Case 2:**  $\xi$  is a limit ordinal.

Fix an increasing sequence  $\langle \xi_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  of ordinals s.t.  $\eta = \xi_0$  and  $\sup \{\xi_n \mid n < \omega\} = \xi$ . We construct  $\langle W^n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  s.t. for all  $n < \omega$

0.  $W^0 = W$ .
1.  $W^n$  is a normal subtree of  $U \upharpoonright \delta_{\xi_n} + 1$  and  $W^n \in N_{\xi_n+1}$ .
2.  $W^n \upharpoonright \delta_{\xi_n} \subseteq U \cap N_{\xi_n} \subseteq U \upharpoonright \delta_{\xi_n}$  and  $|W^n| = \omega$ .
3.  $\forall a \in W^n \upharpoonright \delta_{\xi_n} \forall k < \omega \ a \smallfrown \langle k \rangle \in U$  implies  $a \smallfrown \langle k \rangle \in W^n$ .
4.  $\forall h \in W_{\delta_{\xi_n}}^n \forall i \leq \xi_n \ h$  is  $(U, N_i)$ -generic.
5.  $W_{n+1} \upharpoonright \delta_{\xi_n} + 1 = W_n$ .

This is done by applying  $\varphi(\xi_n)$  for all  $1 \leq n < \omega$ . Let  $W^\dagger = \bigcup \{W^n \mid n < \omega\}$ . Then  $W^\dagger$  is a normal subtree of  $U \upharpoonright \delta_\xi$  closed under taking the immediate successors. So for each  $a \in W^\dagger$  we may associate  $\hat{a} \in U_{\delta_\xi}$  s.t.  $a \subset \hat{a}$  and for all  $\alpha < \delta_\xi \ \hat{a} \upharpoonright \alpha \in W^\dagger$ . Let  $W^* = W^\dagger \cup \{\hat{a} \mid a \in W^\dagger\}$ . Since parameters  $U, \delta_\xi, N_\xi, \langle N_{\bar{\xi}} \mid \bar{\xi} \leq \xi \rangle, \delta_\eta$  and  $W$  are all in  $N_{\xi+1}$ . We may assume  $W^* \in N_{\xi+1}$ . This  $W^*$  works. This completes the proof of claim.

+

For any  $\xi$  with  $0 < \xi < \omega_1$  by (3.1) proposition, there is  $W$  s.t.  $W$  satisfies the assumption in  $\varphi(\xi)$  with  $\eta = 0$ . So there is  $W^*$  as in  $\varphi(\xi)$ . In particular there is  $q \in U$  s.t.  $q \supset p$  and for all  $\xi \leq \xi$   $q$  is  $(U, N_\xi)$ -generic. So  $U$  is  $\rho$ -proper for all countable ordinal  $\rho$ .

—

**(3.3) Lemma.** Let  $(P * \dot{U}, \leq, (1, \dot{1}))$  be a two-step iteration such that

1.  $(P, \leq_P, 1)$  is  $\sigma$ -Baire and proper.
2. For some fixed array of directed sets  $D$ , we assume  $\Vdash_P$  “Either  $\dot{U}$  is a tree appropriate for  $\check{D}$  or  $\dot{U} = \{\emptyset\}$ ”.

Then for any  $(\theta, N_0, N_1, (p, \tau), G)$  such that

1.  $\theta$  is a sufficiently large regular cardinal.
2.  $N_0$  and  $N_1$  are countable elementary substructures of  $H_\theta$  with  $(P * \dot{U}, \leq, (1, \dot{1})), D \in N_0 \in N_1$ .
3.  $(p, \tau) \in (P * \dot{U}) \cap N_0$ .
4.  $G \in \text{Gen}(P, N_0, p) \cap N_1$  with a lower bound in  $P$ .

There is  $G^* \in \text{Gen}(P * \dot{U}, N_0, (p, \tau))$  such that

1.  $G = \{x \in P \cap N_0 \mid \exists \sigma (x, \sigma) \in G^*\}$  and  $G^*$  has a lower bound in  $P * \dot{U}$ .
2. For any  $r \in P$  if  $r$  is a lower bound of  $G$  and  $(P, N_1)$ -generic, then there is  $\pi$  s.t.  $(r, \pi)$  is a lower bound of  $G^*$  in  $P * \dot{U}$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\{x \in P \mid x \Vdash \dot{U} \text{ is appropriate for } \check{D} \text{ or } x \Vdash \dot{U} = \{\emptyset\}\}$  is a dense open subset of  $P$  and belongs to  $N_0$ . We have two cases to consider.

**Case 1:** There is  $g \in G$  s.t.  $g \Vdash \dot{U} = \{\emptyset\}$ .

Let  $G^* = \{(x, \sigma) \in (P * \dot{U}) \cap N_0 \mid \exists g \in G (g, \tau) \leq (x, \sigma)\}$ . This  $G^*$  works.

**Case 2:** There is  $g \in G$  s.t.  $g \Vdash \dot{U}$  is appropriate for  $\check{D}$ .

Since  $G \in \text{Gen}(P, N_0, p) \cap N_1$  with a lower bound, there is a lower bound  $x \in N_1$  of  $G$ . Since  $(P, \leq_P, 1)$  is proper, there is  $y \in P$  s.t.  $y \leq_P x$  and  $y$  is  $(P, N_1)$ -generic. Notice that since  $y$  is a lower bound of  $G$ ,  $y$  is also  $(P, N_0)$ -generic. Hence it is possible to fix a  $P$ -generic filter  $G_P$  over  $V$  s.t.

1.  $G_P \cap N_0[G_P] = G_P \cap N_0 = G$ .
2.  $N_0[G_P] \cap V = N_0$ .
3.  $N_1[G_P] \cap V = N_1$ .

Let  $\delta_0 = N_0 \cap \omega_1$ . Then  $\delta_0 = N_0[G_P] \cap \omega_1$  holds. We make use of  $G_P$  to define  $G^*$  for convenience sake. Since  $N_0[G_P]$  is a countable elementary substructure of  $H_\theta^{V[G_P]}$  with  $\dot{U}[G_P] \in N_0[G_P]$  and  $\tau[G_P] \in \dot{U}[G_P] \cap N_0[G_P]$ . We may apply (3.1) proposition with  $X = N_1 \cap D_{\delta_0, \tau[G_P]}$ . So there is  $q \in \dot{U}[G_P] \cap {}^{\delta_0}\omega \cap \bigcap X$  s.t.

4.  $\{q[\xi \mid \xi < \delta_0]\} \in \text{Gen}(\dot{U}[G_P], N_0[G_P], \tau[G_P])$ .



For every  $\xi < \delta_0$ , since  $q[\xi \in N_0[G_P] \cap \dot{U}[G_0] \cap {}^\xi\omega = N_0 \cap \dot{U}[G_P] \cap {}^\xi\omega$  and  $(P, \leq_P, 1)$  is  $\sigma$ -Baire, there is  $\langle \tau_\xi \mid \xi < \delta_0 \rangle \in V$  s.t. for all  $\xi < \delta_0$

5.  $\tau_\xi \in N_0$ .
6.  $\Vdash_P \text{"}\tau_\xi \in \dot{U}\text{"}$ .
7.  $\exists x_\xi \in G (= N_0[G_P] \cap G_P)$  s.t.  $x_\xi \Vdash \text{"}\tau_\xi = q[\xi]\text{"}$ .
8.  $\exists x \in G$  s.t.  $x \Vdash \text{"}\text{dom}(\tau) = \check{\alpha}\text{"}$  for a unique  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , let  $\tau_\alpha = \tau$ .

Define  $G^* = \{(x, \sigma) \in (P * \dot{U}) \cap N_0 \mid \exists g \in G \exists \xi < \delta_0 (g, \tau_\xi) \leq (x, \sigma)\}$  in  $V$ .

**Claim 1.**  $G^* \in \text{Gen}(P * \dot{U}, N_0, (p, \tau))$ .

**Proof.** It is clear that  $G = \{x \in P \cap N_0 \mid \exists \sigma (x, \sigma) \in G^*\}$ ,  $(p, \tau) \in G^* \subseteq (P * \dot{U}) \cap N_0$  and  $G^*$  is upward-closed in  $(P * \dot{U}) \cap N_0$ . To see  $G^*$  is directed, suppose  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ ,  $\xi_1, \xi_2 < \delta_0$ . Since  $x_{\xi_1} \Vdash \text{"}\tau_{\xi_1} = q[\xi_1]\text{"}$ ,  $x_{\xi_2} \Vdash \text{"}\tau_{\xi_2} = q[\xi_2]\text{"}$  and  $x_{\xi_1}, x_{\xi_2} \in G$ , there is  $g_3 \in G$  s.t.  $g_3 \leq_P g_1, g_2, x_{\xi_1}, x_{\xi_2}$ . We may assume  $\xi_1 \leq \xi_2$  so  $g_3 \Vdash \text{"}\tau_{\xi_2} \supseteq \tau_{\xi_1}\text{"}$  and so  $(g_3, \tau_{\xi_2}) \leq (g_1, \tau_{\xi_1}), (g_2, \tau_{\xi_2})$ . To show  $G^*$  takes care of every open dense subset  $C \in N_0$  of  $P * \dot{U}$ . We first note that  $\{d[G_P] \mid \exists d \in G_P (d, \dot{d}) \in C\}$  is an open dense subset of  $\dot{U}[G_P]$  which is in  $N_0[G_P]$ . Since  $\{q[\xi \mid \xi < \delta_0] \in \text{Gen}(\dot{U}[G_P], N_0[G_P], \tau[G_P])\}$ , there is  $\xi < \delta_0$  s.t. for some  $(d, \dot{d}) \in C \cap N_0[G_P] = C \cap N_0$ ,  $d \in G = G_P \cap N_0$  and  $q[\xi = \dot{d}[G_P]]$  hold. So there is  $z \in G_P \cap N_0[G_P] = G$  s.t.  $z \Vdash_P \text{"}q[\xi = \dot{d}]\text{"}$ . Since  $d, x_\xi \in G$ , we may assume  $z \leq d, x_\xi$  and so  $z \Vdash \text{"}\tau_\xi = \dot{d}\text{"}$ . Namely we got  $(z, \tau_\xi) \in G^*$  s.t.  $(z, \tau_\xi) \leq (d, \dot{d}) \in C \cap N_0$ . This completes the proof of claim 1. +

**Claim 2.** For any  $r \in P$  if  $r$  is a lower bound of  $G$  and is  $(P, N_1)$ -generic then  $r \Vdash \text{"}\check{q} \in \dot{U}\text{"}$ . And so there is  $\pi$  s.t.  $(r, \pi)$  is a lower bound of  $G^*$  in  $P * \dot{U}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $f \in {}^{\delta_0}\omega \cap N_0$  be s.t. there is  $g \in G$  with  $g \Vdash \text{"}\tau = f\text{"}$ . There is a  $P$ -name  $\dot{A} \in N_1$  s.t.

$\Vdash_P \text{"If } \dot{U} \text{ is appropriate for } \check{D} \text{ and } \check{f} \in \dot{U}[\delta_0], \text{ then } \dot{A} \in \check{D}_{\delta_0, f} \text{ and for all } h \in \dot{A} \text{ if for all } \xi < \delta_0 \text{ } h \Vdash \xi \in \dot{U} \text{ and } h \supset \check{f} \text{ hold then } h \in \dot{U}\text{"}$ .

This is possible because parameters  $\dot{U}, D, D_{\delta_0, f}, \delta_0, f$  and  $(P, \leq_P, 1)$  are all in  $N_1$ . Since  $r$  is a lower bound of  $G$  and is  $(P, N_1)$ -generic we get  $r \Vdash_P \text{"}\dot{A} \in N_1[\dot{G}] \cap D_{\delta_0, f} = N_1 \cap D_{\delta_0, f} = X\text{"}$ . Since  $q \supset \tau[G_P] = f$ ,  $q \in {}^{\delta_0}\omega \cap \bigcap X$  and  $r \Vdash \text{"}\forall \xi < \delta_0 \text{ } q[\xi \in \dot{U}]\text{"}$ , we conclude  $r \Vdash \text{"}\check{q} \in \dot{U}\text{"}$ . This completes the proof of claim 2, case 2 and (3.3). +

**(3.4) Lemma ( $V = L$ ).** Let  $\langle D^\zeta \mid \zeta < \omega_2 \rangle$  enumerate the arrays of directed sets  $D = \langle D_{\alpha, f} \mid \alpha \in \Omega, f \in {}^{>\omega}\alpha \rangle$  s.t. for all  $\alpha \in \Omega$  and all  $f \in {}^{>\omega}\alpha \mid D_{\alpha, f} \mid \leq \omega_1$ . Fix a function  $\pi : \omega_2 \rightarrow \omega_2 \times \omega_2 \times \omega_2$  s.t.

1. If  $\pi(\alpha) = (\zeta, \eta, \xi)$  then  $\zeta, \eta, \xi \leq \alpha$ .
2. For all  $(\zeta, \eta, \xi) \in \omega_2 \times \omega_2 \times \omega_2$ ,  $\{\alpha < \omega_2 \mid \pi(\alpha) = (\zeta, \eta, \xi)\}$  is cofinal in  $\omega_2$ .

We can define a countable support iteration  $((P_\alpha, \leq_\alpha, 1_\alpha)_{\alpha \leq \omega_2}, (\dot{Q}_\alpha, \dot{\leq}_\alpha, \dot{1}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_2})$  and  $\langle \tau_{\eta, \xi} \mid \eta, \xi < \omega_2 \rangle$  such that for all  $\alpha < \omega_2$

- (1)  $P_\alpha$  is  $\rho$ -proper for all  $\rho < \omega_1$ .
- (2)  $P_\alpha$  is  $\sigma$ -Baire.
- (3)  $P_\alpha$  has a dense subset of size at most  $\omega_1$  and so has the  $\omega_2$ -c.c..
- (4)  $P_\alpha$  preserves every cofinality and so cardinality.
- (5)  $P_\alpha$  preserves GCH.
- (6) For all  $\xi < \omega_2$   $\tau_{\alpha, \xi}$  is a  $P_\alpha$ -name s.t.  $\Vdash_{P_\alpha} \tau_{\alpha, \xi} \subseteq {}^{\omega_1} \omega$ .
- (7) For all  $P_\alpha$ -name  $\tau$ , there is  $\xi < \omega_2$  s.t.  $\Vdash_{P_\alpha} \tau \subseteq {}^{\omega_1} \omega$  implies  $\tau = \tau_{\alpha, \xi}$ .
- (8) Let  $\pi(\alpha) = (\zeta, \eta, \xi)$ , then  $\Vdash_{P_\alpha}$  "If  $\tau_{\eta, \xi}[\dot{G}_\alpha[\eta]]$  is a tree appropriate for  $\check{D}^\zeta$  then  $\dot{Q}_\alpha = \tau_{\eta, \xi}[\dot{G}_\alpha[\eta]]$  else  $\dot{Q}_\alpha = \{\emptyset\}$ ".

**Proof.** The construction is by recursion on  $\alpha < \omega_2$ . Suppose we have constructed  $((P_\beta, \leq_\beta, 1_\beta)_{\beta \leq \alpha}, (\dot{Q}_\beta, \dot{\leq}_\beta, \dot{1}_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha})$  and  $\langle \tau_{\eta, \xi} \mid \eta < \alpha, \xi < \omega_2 \rangle$ . We want to get  $\dot{Q}_\alpha$  and  $\langle \tau_{\alpha, \xi} \mid \xi < \omega_2 \rangle$ . Since  $P_\alpha$  has a dense subset of size  $\omega_1$  and  $\Vdash_{P_\alpha} \omega_1 = \omega_1^V$  and  $\omega_1 > \omega = (\omega_1 > \omega)^V$ , we may get  $\langle \tau_{\alpha, \xi} \mid \xi < \omega_2 \rangle$  s.t. (6) and (7) are satisfied. If  $\pi(\alpha) = (\zeta, \eta, \xi)$ , then  $\eta \leq \alpha$  and so we have a  $P_\eta$ -name  $\tau_{\eta, \xi}$ . Hence it makes sense to define  $\dot{Q}_\alpha$  as in (8). Then we have by (3.2) lemma

$$\Vdash_{P_\alpha} "|\dot{Q}_\alpha| \leq \omega_1, \dot{Q}_\alpha \text{ is } \rho\text{-proper for all } \rho < \omega_1 \text{ and is } \sigma\text{-Baire}."$$

So  $P_{\alpha+1}$  also satisfies (1) through (5). All we left to show is that (1) through (5) hold for the limit ordinal  $\alpha$ . But the iteration lemma for  $\rho$ -proper (0.4) takes care of (1). We combine (2.1), (2.2), (3.2) and (3.3) to get (2). The iteration theorem for proper (0.3) gives us (3). Now (4) and (5) follow from (1), (2) and (3). This completes the construction.  $\dashv$

**(3.5) Theorem** ( $V = L$ ). Let  $((P_\alpha, \leq_\alpha, 1_\alpha)_{\alpha \leq \omega_2}, (\dot{Q}_\alpha, \dot{\leq}_\alpha, \dot{1}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_2})$  be as in (3.4). Then we have

- (1)  $P_{\omega_2}$  is  $\rho$ -proper for all  $\rho < \omega_1$ .
- (2)  $P_{\omega_2}$  is  $\sigma$ -Baire.
- (3)  $P_{\omega_2}$  has the  $\omega_2$ -c.c. and has a dense subset of size  $\omega_2$ .
- (4)  $P_{\omega_2}$  preserves every cofinality and so cardinality.
- (5)  $P_{\omega_2}$  preserves GCH.
- (6)  $\Vdash_{P_{\omega_2}}$  "SAD".
- (7) For all  $\omega_1$ -Souslin tree  $T$   $\Vdash_{P_{\omega_2}}$  " $\check{T}$  remains to be an  $\omega_1$ -Souslin tree".

**Proof.** We know (1) and (2) are dealt with in the same way as in (3.4). For (3), we make use of (3) of (3.4) and a usual  $\Delta$ -system argument under CH. Hence (4) and (5) hold. We deduce (7) by putting together (1.3) and (1.5). So we concentrate on showing (6). Suppose  $D$  is an array of directed sets and  $p \Vdash_{P_{\omega_2}}$  " $\dot{U}$  is appropriate for  $\check{D}$ ". Since

$P_{\omega_2}$  has the  $\omega_2$ -c.c., there is  $(\eta, \xi) \in \omega_2 \times \omega_2$  s.t.  $p \Vdash_{P_{\omega_2}} \dot{U} = \tau_{\eta, \xi}[\dot{G}_{\omega_2}[\eta]]$ . For each  $\delta \in \Omega$  and each  $f \in {}^{\delta}>\omega$ , let  $\dot{A}_{\delta, f}$  be a  $P_{\omega_2}$ -name s.t.

$p \Vdash_{P_{\omega_2}} \dot{f} \in \dot{U}[\delta \text{ implies } (\dot{A}_{\delta, f} \in \dot{D}_{\delta, f} \text{ and for any } h \in \dot{A}_{\delta, f} \text{ if for all } \xi < \delta \ h \restriction \xi \in \dot{U} \text{ and } h \supset \dot{f}, \text{ then } h \in \dot{U})]$ .

By the  $\omega_2$ -c.c., there is a countably complete directed subsets  $D'_{\delta, f}$  of  $D_{\delta, f}$  s.t.  $|D'_{\delta, f}| \leq \omega_1$  and  $p \Vdash_{P_{\omega_2}} \dot{f} \in \dot{U}[\delta \text{ implies } \dot{A}_{\delta, f} \in D'_{\delta, f}]$ . Choose  $\zeta < \omega_2$  s.t.  $D^\zeta = \langle D'_{\delta, f} \mid \delta \in \Omega, f \in {}^{\delta}>\omega \rangle$ . Let  $\alpha < \omega_2$  be s.t.  $\pi(\alpha) = (\zeta, \eta, \xi)$  and  $\text{supp}(p) \subset \alpha$ . So  $D'_{\delta, f} = (D^\zeta)_{\delta, f} \subseteq D_{\delta, f}$  for all  $\delta \in \Omega$  and all  $f \in {}^{\delta}>\omega$ .

**Claim.**  $p \restriction \alpha \Vdash_{P_\alpha} \tau_{\eta, \xi}[\dot{G}_\alpha[\eta]]$  is appropriate for  $\dot{D}^\zeta$ .

**Proof.** Let  $G_\alpha$  be an arbitrary  $P_\alpha$ -generic filter over  $V$  with  $p \restriction \alpha \in G_\alpha$ . Since  $\tau_{\eta, \xi}$  is a  $P_\eta$ -name and  $\eta \leq \alpha$ , it makes sense to consider  $\tau_{\eta, \xi}[G_\alpha[\eta]]$  in  $V[G_\alpha]$ . Since  $\text{supp}(p) \subset \alpha$ , we may fix a  $P_{\omega_2}$ -generic filter  $G_{\omega_2}$  s.t.  $p \in G_{\omega_2}$  and  $G_{\omega_2} \restriction \alpha = G_\alpha$ . Let  $G_\eta = G_\alpha \restriction \eta = G_{\omega_2} \restriction \eta$  and  $U = \dot{U}[G_{\omega_2}] = \tau_{\xi, \eta}[G_\eta]$ . Now since  $U$  is appropriate for  $D$  in  $V[G_{\omega_2}]$ ,  $U$  is a normal tree of height  $\omega_1$  in  $V[G_{\omega_2}]$ . Since  $(\omega_1 > \omega)^{V[G_{\omega_2}]} = (\omega_1 > \omega)^V$ ,  $U$  is a normal tree of height  $\omega_1$  in  $V[G_\alpha]$ . Since  $\dot{A}_{\delta, f}[G_{\omega_2}] \in (D^\zeta)_{\delta, f}$  for all  $\delta \in \Omega$  and all  $f \in U \restriction \delta$ . For any  $\delta \in \Omega$  and any  $f \in U \restriction \delta$ , there is  $A (= \dot{A}_{\delta, f}[G_{\omega_2}])$  in  $(D^\zeta)_{\delta, f}$  such that for all  $h \in A$  if for all  $\xi < \delta$   $h \restriction \xi \in U$  and  $h \supset f$ , then  $h \in U$  in  $V[G_\alpha]$ . Next let  $\delta \in \Omega$  and  $W$  be a normal subtree of  $U \restriction \delta$  closed under taking the immediate successors in  $V[G_\alpha]$ . For any  $f \in W$  and any  $B \in (D^\zeta)_{\delta, f}$ , since  $W$  is a normal subtree of  $U \restriction \delta$  closed under taking the immediate successors in  $V[G_{\omega_2}]$ , there is  $h \in B$  s.t.  $h \supset f$  and for all  $\xi < \delta$   $h \restriction \xi \in W$ . This is true in  $V[G_\alpha]$  as well by absoluteness. This completes the proof of claim.

Hence  $p \restriction \alpha \Vdash_{P_\alpha} \dot{Q}_\alpha = \tau_{\eta, \xi}[\dot{G}_\alpha[\eta]]$ . So  $p \restriction \alpha + 1 \Vdash_{P_{\alpha+1}} \tau_{\eta, \xi}[\dot{G}_{\alpha+1}[\eta]]$  gains a cofinal path through it" and so  $p \Vdash_{P_{\omega_2}} \dot{U}$  gains a cofinal path through it".

+

**(3.6) Note (CH).** There is a notion of forcing  $P$  s.t.

1.  $P$  is strongly proper and so preserves every  $\omega_1$ -Souslin tree in the ground model.
2.  $P$  is  $\sigma$ -Baire and so preserves CH.
3. The negation of  $\diamond$  holds in the generic extensions.

The construction of  $P$  is similar to (3.4) and (3.5).

## References

- [1] U.Abraham, K.Devlin and S.Shelah, The consistency with CH of some consequences of Martin's Axiom plus  $2^{\aleph_0} > \aleph_1$ , Israel Journal of Math., Vol. 31, No.1, 1978.
- [2] S.Shelah, Proper Forcing, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 940, Springer-Verlag, 1982.